

2019

"INVESTING IN PORTS"



BENCHMARKING THE EFFICIENCY OF THE EGYPTIAN AND LIBYAN CONTAINER PORTS

Ahmed, Ismail & Abdulla, Wanis

IN THIS SHOW



The International Maritime Transport and logistics Conference Towards Global Competitiveness in Maritime Industry "INVESTING IN PORTS"

The Trends, The Future

Aims, objectives and study limits

Literature review

Methodology

Data collection

Variables

DEA analysis Results & conclusion Recommendations



The Trends, The Future

Containerization

Introduction, aims,

- objectives and study
- limits
- Literature review
- Methodology
- Data collection
- Variables
- DEA analysis
- **Results & conclusion**
- Recommendations

- 4 cornerstones of the globalization: transport, trade liberalization, telecommunications & international standardization.
- Containerization is the backbone of intermodal transport.
 - World seaborne trade steadily increased within the last two decades, more than 50,000 vessels.
 - 14% are container vessels, more than 700 container terminals worldwide.



limits

Variables

DEA analysis

Results & conclusion

Recommendations

The International Maritime Transport and logistics Conference Towards Global Competitiveness in Maritime Industru

"INVESTING IN PORTS" The Trends, The Future **Study Aims & Objectives**

To evaluate, assess and benchmark the relative efficiency of major 10 Introduction, aims, container ports in Egypt and Libya for the first time , between **2012& 2016**. objectives and study Ports are El-Dekheila, Alexandria, Damietta, Port Said, East Port Said & El-Sokhna from Egypt, and Tobruck, Misurata, Khoms & Tripoli from Libya. Literature review Methodology - **To** determine inefficiency causes & the necessity to infra/super structure **Data collection** investment!

Results will provide a valuable information/data for port managers in order to establish competitive strategies & to improve their resource utilization for ongoing improvements in operational efficiency.





The Trends, The Future

Literature Review

Introduction, aims,

objectives and study

limits

Literature review

Methodology

Data collection

Variables

DEA analysis

Results & conclusion

Recommendations

 Most studies were centered on the advanced and emerging markets in the North America, Europe & N.E. Asia.

- Papers involved DEA in maritime industry consist only 26% in transport field.

Measuring of container port efficiency in the South Mediterranean & North Africa using DEA is limited (seldom) through global academic research network due to data availability and market share of the region which is very low.

North African ports, on the other hand, always considered as a part of MENA ports, and were never benchmarked individually before.

This study reviews 23 previous studies, 7 related to area of study.



The Trends, The Future

Authors	Conclusions	Authors	Conclusions
Cullinane et al. (2005a)	no clear relationship between privatization or ownership that affects port efficiency	Cullinane et Al. (2005b)	some world-renowned container terminals are found to be currently suffering from inefficient production
Lin and Tseng (2005)	there is no significant differentiation between operating efficiency scores of corporate-owned vs. public ports	Cullinane and Wang (2006a)	container ports that have massive production scale also have higher efficiency scores
Tongzon and Heng (2005)	private sector participation, is useful for improving port operation efficiency	Lin and Tseng (2007)	several factors affect port efficiency, including the utilization of facilities
Al-Eraqi et al. (2007)	bigger ports are efficient	Cullinane and Wang (2010)	production size does not have a clear-cut relationship with the efficiency of a port
Sohn and Jung (2009)	bigger size of a port would increase the market share	Cheon et al. (2010)	ports will become more efficient if they have more decentralized.
ElSakty (2012)	developed The Damietta Port Performance Measurement System	Merk and Dang (2012)	most efficient container ports are not necessarily the largest ports
Rajasekar et al. (2014)	size of port always does not ensure efficiency	Serebrisky et al. (2015)	ports operated or managed by private companies are more efficient
VanDyck (2015)	larger ports are more efficient	Akinyemi (2016)	private sector participation in the port industry has improved port efficiency



Authors	Conclusions
Al-Eraqi et al., (2007)	Bigger ports are efficient due to large number of ships call and throughput
ElSakty (2012)	Measured Damietta Port overall Performance
Almawsheki and Shah (2015)	The inefficiency of container terminals in the Middle Eastern region may also be the result of issues including the Arab Spring revolutions in some countries in the region such as Yemen, Syria, and Egypt
Almawsheki et al., (2015)	Increase efficiency could increase port's revenue and increase the country's GDP
Elsayeh (2015)	The average of technical efficiency of Mediterranean container ports below 50%
Almadani (2015)	The Libyan container ports were in-efficient for year of 2010
Ismail and Elgazzar (2018)	East Port Said port has the highest score in the efficiency index among Egyptian ports, El-Sokhna second, Damietta third position, Port Said fourth, El-Dekheila fifth and finally Alexandria sixth .



The Trends, The Future

Methodology

Positivism Deductive Quantitative Secondary Longitudinal

Introduction, aims,

objectives and study

limits

Literature review

Methodology

Data collection

Variables

DEA analysis

Results & conclusion

Recommendations

Philosophy= Positivism

Approach=Deductive

Method=Quantitative

Time Horizon= Longitudinal

Secondary Data



The Trends, The Future

Introduction, aims,

objectives and study

limits

Literature review

Methodology

Data collection

Variables

DEA analysis

Results & conclusion

Recommendations

Efficiency is a level of performance that uses the lowest amount of inputs to create the greatest amount of outputs.

DEA-CCR output-oriented model attempts to maximize outputs, while using no more than the observed amount on any inputs, and can described as:

$$E = \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{N} v_l y_l}{\sum_{l=0}^{N} u_l x_l}$$

Data Collection

Panel data for five years were collected from 2012 to 2016 from the Egyptian Maritime Data Bank, the Libyan Ports Company and certified websites, in order to rank the stated ports based on their achieved results.

limits





DEA-CCR efficiency score

	Port	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Introduction, aims,	Alexandria	0.788	0.850	1.000	0.971	1.000
objectives and study	El-Dekheila	0.630	0.750	0.880	0.766	0.788
limits	Damietta	0.653	0.594	0.613	0.624	0.706
Literature review	East Port Said	0.882	1.000	0.994	0.935	0.765
Methodology	Port Said	0.663	0.517	0.659	0.493	0.374
Data collection	El-Sokhna	0.667	0.580	0.708	0.919	0.818
Variables	Khoms	0.740	0.830	0.680	0.738	0.559
DEA analysis	Tripoli	0.303	0.320	0.230	0.210	0.136
Results & conclusion	Misurata	0.724	0.809	0.535	0.273	0.264
Recommendations	Tobruck	0.180	0.130	0.050	0.332	0.309
	Mean	0.623	0.638	0.635	0.626	0.572



Introduction, aims,

objectives and study

limits

Literature review

Methodology

Data collection

Variables

DEA analysis

Results & conclusion

Recommendations





Results & conclusion

1- Alexandria container port had an efficiency score equal to unity in 2014 & 2016.

- Introduction, aims,
- objectives and study
- limits
- Literature review
- Methodology
- Data collection
- Variables
- DEA analysis
- **Results & conclusion**

Recommendations

agencies.

- 2- East Port Said container port has an efficiency score equal to unity in 2013.
- 3- The performance of Libyan container ports from seaside operations is low, due to lack of efficient and specialized cranes.
- 4- The Libyan ports use insufficient equipment such as; external trucks for container transport, with some port tractors and trailers.
- 5- Among the stated ports (as the largest in both countries), **ULCC** can only be handled in one container port in Egypt .
- 6- Structure and layers of control & management of Libyan ports are highly complex, involving a multiplicity of ministries, government departments &



The Trends, The Future

	Ports Competitiveness – Key Factors					
Introduction, aims,						
objectives and study	Geographical Advantage	Handling Rates	Cargo volume			
limits	Accessibility (land and sea)	Ownership of seaport	Service level			
Literature review Methodology	Tradition & organization	Privileged carrier terms	Frequency of ship visit			
Data collection	Productivity & Efficiency	Government tax and duties	Port reputation for cargo damage			
Variables	Preference of navigation	Customs regulations	Quick response to user needs			
DEA analysis Results & conclusion	Preference of navigators	Handling Costs	Congestion			
Recommendations	Facilities and equipment	Risk management	Shipment information			
	Product differentiation	Security and safety	Sustainability			





The Trends, The Future

- Introduction, aims,
- objectives and study
- limits
- Literature review
- Methodology
- Data collection
- Variables
- DEA analysis
- **Results & conclusion**
- Recommendations

- Deeper water is required for the Egyptian container ports & terminals.
- Infra/super structure are urgently needed for the Libyan container terminals /port system & master plan as well, under the NPC & PM supervision.
 - Qualified people at all levels are urgently needed also for the Libyan container
 - terminals parallel to any efforts / steps to be taken.
 - Must change to electronic procedures and payment in both countries.
 - LPI for both countries should be improved.
 - So, more investments are required.



Current efforts and investments in Egypt

Introduction, aims,

objectives and study

limits

Literature review

Methodology

Data collection

Variables

DEA analysis

Results & conclusion

Recommendations

- Master plan of 4 phases already drew according to the country's' vision 2030.

- Dredging works in El-sokhna port & EPS in progress.
- Expansion of El-sokhna container yards as a new phase was adopted.
 - 2 Pivotal hubs : El-sokhna & East Port Said according to 2050 vision.
- All new /planned logistics centers & dry ports in Egypt will directly connected

to sea ports via railways / roads.

Already large & various investments in the SC Zone.



Current efforts and investments in Libya

Introduction, aims,

objectives and study

limits

Literature review

Methodology

Data collection

Variables

DEA analysis

Results & conclusion

Recommendations

3 plans for developing of 3 different ports are ready.

Single – window is used in Khoms port since 2010.

- Sousa deep port DBOT concession agreement signed as the first ever Libyan

infra-structure project in the country.

Temenhint as a logistics center(hinterland) for Misurata FZ was adopted.

Although, these efforts, lack of national master plan, logistics centers & dry

ports, as well as, the necessity to the professionals & HR developing programs





Authors

PhD candidates @ MPI – AAST, Alexandria.

Ahmed Ismail

MSc in international transport & logistics 2009

- Graduate Admissions Officer Graduate Studies Department.
- Procurement Officer Purchasing and Warehousing Department.
- Registration officer maritime post graduate studies.

Abdulla Wanis

MSc in international transport & logistics 2009

- Libyan expert in maritime transport
- Lecturer and former marine pilot
- Editor : Robban Assafina magazine , Africa gate new and Sada economic website.

Other own MARLOG papers

Wanis, A. and Ismail, A. (2018), Role of the global container shipping in a changing economic world, recent trends and future perspectives, *MARLOG 7 "The Gateway to the Future"*. Egypt, Alexandria. 18-20 March 2018.